E-3. INSTRUCTIONS:

Answers must be based on the actual testing of controls (e.g. document analysis, direct observation, interviewing, sampling, simulation, other). Answers which indicate control problems must be explained (and corrective action indicated) in supporting documentation. These controls must be evaluated in accordance with the schedule in the Management Control Plan.

E-4. TEST QUESTIONS:

(Reference AR 750-1, 1 Aug 94, Paragraph 4-36, Army Oil Analysis Program).

- a. Have AOAP monitors at each level of command been assigned and properly trained by the supporting laboratory or installation AOAP monitor?
 - b. Are AOAP laboratories' operations adequately funded?
 - c. Are commanders actively participating in the AOAP?
 - d. Is feedback being sent to laboratories by users?
- e. Are supported units properly responding to laboratory recommendations?

ASSESSABLE UNIT MANAGER: (Sign and Date)

E-5. COMMENTS:

Help make this a better review tool.

Submit comments to the HQDA functional proponent: DALO-SMM, DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF FOR LOGISTICS, 500 ARMY PENTAGON, WASHINGTON, DC 20310-0500 (Provide information copy to Commander, USALEA, ATTN: LOIA-M, New Cumberland, PA 17070-5007)

E-6. This checklist supersedes the checklist for AR N/A, task N/A, previously published in DA Circular N/A.

For assistance in responding to questions, contact the functional proponent.

Appendix F MANAGEMENT CONTROL EVALUATION CHECKLIST

F-1. FUNCTION: ARMY OIL ANALYSIS PROGRAM (AOAP)

F-2. PURPOSE:

To assist the AMC DCSLOG in evaluating the key management controls. It is not intended to cover all controls.

F-3. INSTRUCTIONS:

Answers must be based on the actual testing of controls (e.g. document analysis, direct observation, interviewing, sampling, simulation, other). Answers which indicate control problems must be explained (and corrective action indicated) in supporting documentation. These controls must be evaluated in accordance with the schedule in the Management Control Plan.

F-4. TEST QUESTIONS:

(Reference AR 750–1, 1 Aug 94), Paragraph 4–36, Army Oil Analysis Program).

- a. Is required laboratory equipment being funded and procured?
- b. Are laboratory equipment and personnel properly certified?
- c. Are weapon systems being included in and configured for the AOAP when required?
- d. Are satisfactory plans in place to provide AOAP support to a theater of operations in a contingency?

ASSESSABLE UNIT MANAGER: (Sign and Date)

F-5. COMMENTS:

Help make this a better review tool.

Submit comments to the HQDA functional proponent: DALO-SMM, DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF FOR LOGISTICS, 500 ARMY PENTAGON, WASHINGTON,

DC 20310-0500

(Provide information copy to Commander, USALEA,

ATTN: LOIA-M, New Cumberland, PA 17070-5007)

F-6. This checklist supersedes the checklist for AR N/A, task N/A, previously published in DA Circular N/A.

For assistance in responding to questions, contact the functional proponent.

Appendix G MANAGEMENT CONTROL EVALUATION CHECKLIST

G-1. FUNCTION: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE

G-2. PURPOSE:

To assist the Division ADC-S/MACOM G-4 in evaluating the key management controls. It is not intended to cover all controls.

G-3. INSTRUCTIONS:

Answers must be based on the actual testing of controls (e.g. document analysis, direct observation, interviewing, sampling, simulation, other). Answers which indicate control problems must be explained (and corrective action indicated) in supporting documentation. These controls must be evaluated in accordance with the schedule in the Management Control Plan.

G-4. TEST QUESTIONS:

(Reference AR 750-1, 1 Aug 94).

- a. Is the importance of maintenance being emphasized at all levels?
- b. Are subordinates held accountable for proper maintenance operations?
- c. Is equipment being maintained to the Army maintenance standard?

ASSESSABLE UNIT MANAGER: (Sign and Date)

G-5. COMMENTS:

Help make this a better review tool. Submit comments to the HQDA functional proponent: DALO-SMM, DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF FOR LOGISTICS, 500 ARMY PENTAGON, WASHINGTON, DC 20310–0500

(Provide infor nation copy to Comrnander, USALEA, ATTN: LOIA-M, New Cumberland, PA 17070-5007)

G–6. This checklist supersedes the checklist for AR 750–1, task ALL, previously published in DA Circular 11–87–2.

For assistance in responding to questions, contact the functional proponent.

Appendix H MANAGEMENT CONTROL EVALUATION CHECKLIST

H-1. FUNCTION: MAINTENANCE EXPENDITURE LIMITS (MEL)

H-2. PURPOSE:

To assist the Division ADC-S/MACOM G-4 in evaluating the key management controls. It is not intended to cover all controls.

H-3. INSTRUCTIONS:

Answers must be based on the actual testing of controls (e.g. document analysis, direct observation, interviewing, sampling, simulation, other). Answers which indicate control problems must be explained (and corrective action indicated) in supporting documentation. These controls must be evaluated in accordance with the schedule in the Management Control Plan.

H-4. TEST QUESTIONS:

(Reference AR 750-1, 1 Aug 94, Paragraph 4-5, Maintenance Expenditure Limits [MEL]).

- a. Are Maintenance Expenditure Limits used to ensure economic and operational effectiveness at all maintenance levels?
- b. Are conditions for waivers of published Maintenance Expenditure Limits being met?
- c. Are Maintenance Expenditure Lirnits established and published for commercial equipment?

ASSESSABLE UNIT MANAGER: (Sign and Date)

H-5. COMMENTS:

Help make this a better review tool. Submit comments to the HQDA functional proponent:

DALO-SMM, DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF FOR LOGISTICS, 500 ARMY PENTAGON, WASHINGTON, DC 20310-0500 (Provide information copy to Commander, USALEA, ATTN: LOIA-M, New Cumberland, PA 17070-5007)

H–6. This checklist supersedes the checklist for AR 750–1, task all, previously published in DA Circular 11–93–1. For assistance in responding to questions, contact the functional proponent.

Appendix I MANAGEMENT CONTROL EVALUATION CHECKLIST

I-1. FUNCTION: OPERATIONAL READINESS FLOAT (ORF)

I-2. PURPOSE:

To assist the Division ADC-S/MACOM G-4 in evaluating the key management controls. It is not intended to cover all controls.

I-3. INSTRUCTIONS:

Answers must be based on the actual testing of controls (e.g. document analysis, direct observation, interviewing, sampling, simulation, other). Answers which indicate control problems must be explained (and corrective action indicated) in supporting documentation. These controls must be evaluated in accordance with the schedule in the Management Control Plan.

I-4. TEST QUESTIONS:

(Reference AR 750-1, 1 Aug 94, Paragraph 4-39, Maintenance Float).

- a. Has a float coordinator been appointed in writing?
- b. Are ORF assets being maintained IAW appropriate TMs, LOs, etc.?
- $\it c.$ Are ORF assets being used exclusively for their intended purpose?
 - d. Is ORF accountability being properly maintained?
- e. Are excess float assets being disposed of IAW appropriate guidance and regulations?
- f. Is float demand information accurate and submitted in a timely manner?

ASSESSABLE UNIT MANAGER: (Sign and Date)

I-5. COMMENTS:

Help make this a better review tool.

Submit comments to the HQDA functional proponent: DALO-SMM, DEPUTY CHEF OF STAFF FOR LOGISTICS, 500 ARMY PENTAGON, WASHINGTON, DC 20310-0500 (Provide information copy to Commander,

USALEA, ATTN: LOIA-M, New Cumberland, PA 17070-5007)

I–6. This checklist supersedes the checklist for AR N/A, task N/A, previously published in DA Circular N/A.

For assistance in responding to questions, contact the functional proponent.

Appendix J MANAGEMENT CONTROL EVALUATION CHECKLIST

J-1. FUNCTION: OPERATIONAL READINESS FLOAT (ORF)

J-2. PURPOSE:

To assist the HQDA Materiel Developer and AMC DCSLOG in evaluating the key management controls. It is not intended to cover all controls.

J-3. INSTRUCTIONS:

Answers must be based on the actual testing of controls (e.g. document analysis, direct observation, interviewing, sampling, simulation, other). Answers which indicate control problems must b explained (and corrective action indicated) in supporting documentation. These controls must be evaluated in accordance with the schedule in the Management Control Plan.

J-4. TEST QUESTIONS:

(Reference AR 750-l, 1 Aug 94, Paragraph 4-39, Maintenance Float).

- a. Are initial ORF requirements being properly developed, coordinated, documented, and funded during the fielding process1
- b. Are ORF authorizations being properly computed, validated, and updated?

ASSESSABLE UNIT MANAGER: (Sign and Date)

J-5. COMMENTS:

Help make this a better review tool.

Submit comments to the HQDA functional proponent: DALO-SMM, DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF FOR LOGISTICS, 500 ARMY PENTAGON, WASHINGTON, DC 20310-0500 (Provide information copy to Commander, USALEA, ATTN: LOIA-M,

New Cumberland, PA 17070-5007)

J–6. This checklist supersedes the checklist for AR N/A, task N/A, previously published in DA Circular N/A.

For assistance in responding to questions, contact the functional proponent.

Appendix K MANAGEMENT CONTROL EVALUATION CHECKLIST

K-1. FUNCTION: SPECIALIZED REPAIR ACTIVITIES

K-2. PURPOSE:

To assist AMC DCSLOG in evaluating the key management controls. It is not intended to cover all controls.

K-3. INSTRUCTIONS:

Answers must be based on the actual testing of controls (e.g. document analysis, direct observation, interviewing, sampling, simulation, other). Answers which indicate control problems must be explained (and corrective action indicated) in supporting documentation. These controls must be evaluated in accordance with the schedule in the Management Control Plan.

K-4. TEST QUESTIONS:

(Reference HQDA message, DALO-SMM, 051750Z Nov 93, subject: Changes to Specialized Repair Activity (SRA) Procedures)